

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/852,844	05/11/2001	Masato Fujii	44084-493 5699		
75	90 12/20/2004		EXAMINER		
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096			FADOK, MARK A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3625		

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)	K M			
		09/85	2,844	FUJII ET AL.				
		Exam	iner	Art Unit				
		_	Fadok	3625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNITATION of time may be available under the provisions in SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n unication. or o	to event, however, may a reply be ti e statutory minimum of thirty (30) da and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 September 2004.							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
	1							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims				•			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-13 and 15-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6 and 14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	on Papers							
9)[] -	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)🖾 -	\boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 May 2001</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ <i>/</i> a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of All Certified copies of the priority of All Copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation the attached detailed Office action	locuments have to locuments have to feet the priority docusted Bureau (PCT In the local part of the priority docusted the local part of th	peen received. Deen received in Application Deen received in Application in Appli	ion No ed in this National Si	tage			
1								
Attachment	(s) of References Cited (PTO-892)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/11/2001</u> .		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		52)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cupps (5,991,739).

In regards to claim 1, Cupps discloses a system for providing communication terminals with information on a shop through communication, said system comprising: a first storage portion for storing data of a plurality of shops (FIG 2),

said data of the shops including delivery area information on delivery areas of the shops (abstract);

an acquisition portion for acquiring first location information on a location of a first communication terminal (FIG 11, item 302);

a processing portion for searching for a shop a delivery area of which includes the location of the first communication terminal based on the stored delivery area information and the received first location information (FIG 11, item 304); and

a transmission portion for transmitting a result of the search by the processing portion to the first communication terminal (FIG 11, 306).

Art Unit: 3625

In regards to claim 2, Cupps teaches wherein the transmission portion transmits the result of the search by the processing portion also to a second communication terminal different from the first communication terminal (FIG 13).

Page 3

In regards to claim 3, Cupps teaches wherein the result of the search includes a list of retrieved shops (FIG 8).

In regards to claim 4, Cupps teaches a reception portion for receiving from the first communication terminal a narrowing criterion for narrowing the data of the shops (FIG 8, click the logo),

wherein when the reception portion receives the narrowing criterion the processing portion searches the list of the retrieved shops for a shop meeting the narrowing criterion with reference to the data of the shops (FIG 12C), and

the transmission portion also transmits a result of the search to the first communication terminal (FIG 8 – 10).

In regards to claim 14, Cupps teaches a second storage portion in which user information for identifying a user is stored (FIG 6),

said user information including location information on a location of the user's communication terminal (FIG 11, item 302); and

a reception portion that receives information for identifying a first user from the first communication terminal (FIG 11, item 304 and 306),

wherein the acquisition portion acquires the location information of the communication terminal by referring to the user information corresponding to the received information (FIG 11, item 302).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cupps in view of Official Notice.

In regards to claims 5 and 6, Cupps teaches information concerning delivery (FIG 6), but does not specifically mention that the narrowing criteria includes information related to a predetermined time that a shop can make the delivery. It was old and well known for restaurants such as Dominos Pizza ™ to provide information relating to how long a delivery will take, the delivery time being based on a radius of delivery from the restaurant. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include in Cupps having narrowing criteria presented for the customer to select a predetermined time period, because the customer may only have a

Art Unit: 3625

short period of time in which to eat and may not want to select a restaurant that delivers

Page 5

in an hour. Cupps would be motivated to include this feature since the user would not

have to go through the entire ordering process before receiving the delivery time, thus

the user will be satisfied with the time saving feature and be more likely to return to use

the system.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mark Fadok whose telephone number is (703) 605-

4252. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Thursday 8:00 AM to 5:00

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Wynn Coggins can be reached on (703) 308-1344.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application

or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Va. 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

[Official communications; including

Art Unit: 3625

After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

(703) 746-7206 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

Mark Fadok

Patent Examiner